RUPERT G. THOMAS Senior Assistant Regional Counsel RECEIVED U.S. E.P.A.

2005 MR 21 AM 9:31

LUVIR, APPEALS BOARD

CHRIS R. DUDDING
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region VII
901 N. 5<sup>th</sup> Street
Kansas City, KS 66101
Tel: (913) 551-7524

Fax: (913) 551-7925

## BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC

In the Matter of	)	
FRM Chem, Inc.,	<u> </u>	Docket No. FIFRA-07-2004-0041
a.k.a. Industrial Specialties	)	
Respondent	Ś	

## MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL

Pursuant to Sections 22.30(e) and 22.16(a) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice

Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or

Suspension of Permits ("Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.30(c) and 22.16(a), the United

States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), hereby files this Motion for Clarification of

Record On Appeal. Section 22.5(a) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.5(a), requires that

each document intended to be part of the record shall be filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk

when the proceeding is before the Presiding Officer. Section 22.26 of the Rules of Practice, 40

C.F.R. § 22.26, requires that all post-hearing submissions shall be served on all parties. Section

22.8 of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.8, prohibits ex parte communication between, inter alia, a party and the Presiding Officer in a proceeding.

Pursuant to the instructions by the Presiding Officer at the hearing in this matter, EPA filed a post-hearing brief with the Regional Hearing Clerk on October 13, 2004 and sent a copy by first class mail to both the Presiding Officer and the Respondent, FRM Chem, Inc. ("Respondent"), on that same date. Tr. at 116. At the hearing, the Presiding Officer also instructed Respondent to submit its response to EPA's brief within two weeks of EPA's submission of its post-hearing brief. EPA did not receive a response to its brief from Respondent, nor was such a response filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk. However, in the Initial Decision in this matter, the Presiding Officer alludes to Respondent's "Summary and Response," and notes that "EPA did not submit a Reply Brief" to that document. See Initial Decision at 2, n.4; 9-10.

Since the document referred to by the Presiding Officer Respondent's "Summary and Response" to EPA's post-hearing brief appears to have been neither filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk nor served on EPA, EPA requests that the Board clarify that the document is not part of the official record in the above-captioned matter. If the Board determines that the document referred to as the "Summary and Response" to EPA's post-hearing brief is in fact part of the record in this matter, EPA respectfully requests that it be provided with a copy of the document, and an opportunity to respond to this document, as necessary, in its Appeal Brief.

Respectfully submitted,

Chris R. Dudding

Assistant Regional Counsel

## Complainant-Appellant

	Ву:	
OF COUNSEL:		

Gary Jonesi Ilana Saltzbart Carl Eichenwald Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance